



Minutes of Meeting

Project	Travel n Study	Date	25.10.2012
		Start-end time	18:00 – 20:15
Responsible	Daniele Rogora	Location / type	Skype chat conference Milano - Zagreb

Attended by	Location	Remarks
Branimir Lochert	Zagreb	Project leader
Katarina Sekula	Zagreb	Team member
Milan Cop	Zagreb	Team member
Alessandro Sisto	Milano	Team leader
Javier Hualpa	Milano	Team member
Daniele Rogora	Milano	Team member

1. SVN status

Talked about the SVN access problem for the Milano team members and about the status of the repository.

CONCLUSION:

Initial problems with the SVN access from the POLIMI network are resolved.

ACTION:

Javier, the SVN manager, will reorganize the SVN repository and publish a document specifying the rules to access it.

2. Next presenters

Needed to decide who will hold the presentation for the project plan on the 30th of October.

CONCLUSION:

Milan and Alessandro offered themselves for the role.

ACTION:

Milan and Alessandro will be the presenters on 30.10

3. Planbox usage

Proposed the usage of Planbox to better support work division.

CONCLUSION:

Planbox can be useful, especially during the implementation phase.

ACTION:

The group will use Planbox as a coordination helper.

4. Development methodology

Proposed Rational Unified Process as the development methodology for the project.

CONCLUSION:

RUP can fit well the needs and the deadlines for the project. Another other option is the waterfall model.

ACTION:

The adoption if the RUP methodology for the project will be evaluated.

5. Specific project roles

Discussed about the assignment of different roles.

CONCLUSION:

These are the assignments:

Requirements manager: Alessandro Sisto

Documentation manager: Branimir Lochert

Data sources manager: Javier Hualpa

Lead developer: Katarina Sekula

VM manager: Daniele Rogora

System architect: Daniele Rogora

These roles may still change for any reason. Also all the project members take also the role of developer.

6. Implementation technologies

Had a long discussion about what are the best development technologies for the project.

CONCLUSION:

Two possibilities were identified, based on the poll posted on the Google group about the known programming languages.

.NET framework and Django framework were analyzed under various aspects.

ACTION:

Alessandro and Branimir will post two presentations on the Google group by the 4th of November proposing their preferred choices (Alessandro supports Python, Branimir supports .NET).

The presentations will focus on how the different technologies are effective with what is supposed to be the greatest part of our project: data fetching, both with standard API ad through HTML parsing.

Also live demos will be proposed. The whole team will finally decide which technology will be used.