



Taxi Service Project Plan

Version 1.2

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

Revision History

| Date | Version | Description | Author |
|-------------|----------------|---|-----------------|
| 2012-10-24 | 0.1 | Initial Draft | DSD staff |
| 2012-10-26 | 0.2 | Chapters 1, 3, 7 Draft | Jelena Jerat |
| 2012-10-27 | 0.3 | Chapters 1, 8 Draft | Jelena Jerat |
| 2012-10-27 | 0.4 | Chapter 2 Draft | Leon Dragić |
| 2012-10-29 | 0.5 | Chapter 4 Draft | Lyudmil Angelov |
| 2012-10-31 | 0.6 | Chapter 9 Draft | Igor Piljić |
| 2012-11-01 | 0.7 | Chapter 5, 6, 10 Draft | Jelena Jerat |
| 2012-11-02 | 1.0 | First version finalized | Jelena Jerat |
| 2012-11-13 | 1.1 | Time tables updated to week 46 | Marko Coha |
| 2012-12-04 | 1.2 | Time tables updated to week 49, updated chapter 9 | Jelena Jerat |

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|--------|----------------------------------|----|
| 1. | Introduction | 4 |
| 1.1 | Purpose of this document | 4 |
| 1.2 | Intended Audience | 4 |
| 1.3 | Scope | 4 |
| 1.4 | Definitions and acronyms | 4 |
| 1.4.1 | Definitions | 4 |
| 1.4.2 | Acronyms and abbreviations | 4 |
| 1.5 | References | 4 |
| 2. | Background and Objectives | 5 |
| 3. | Organization | 5 |
| 3.1 | Project group | 6 |
| 3.2 | Customer | 6 |
| 3.3 | Supervisor | 7 |
| 3.4 | Others | 7 |
| 4. | Development process | 7 |
| 5. | Deliverables | 9 |
| 5.1.1 | Remarks | 10 |
| 6. | Inputs | 10 |
| 6.1.1 | Remarks | 10 |
| 7. | Project risks | 11 |
| 8. | Communication | 11 |
| 8.1 | Meetings | 11 |
| 8.1.1 | Skype meetings | 11 |
| 8.1.2 | Meetings with project supervisor | 11 |
| 8.1.3 | Meetings in person | 12 |
| 8.2 | Google group | 12 |
| 8.3 | Person to person communication | 12 |
| 9. | Configuration management | 12 |
| 10. | Project plan | 13 |
| 10.1 | Time schedule | 13 |
| 10.1.1 | Remarks | 13 |
| 10.2 | Activity plan | 14 |
| 10.2.1 | Remarks | 15 |

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this document

This document presents a detailed project plan of a Taxi service project. This document is defined at the beginning of the project and will be revised whenever a change in project organization occurs. Any change in deadlines, documents or parts of the program that are supposed to be delivered will also be documented in one of the revisions. Every other delivered document should be based on the content of this document.

1.2 Intended Audience

Project plan document is intended for all team members as well as the project supervisor and the customer. Team members working on the project should use this document as a base document which defines work methodologies milestones and deliverables. It is supposed to be used and revised in every phase of the project work from its beginning until the end of the project. Customer and project supervisor should use it to get the insight in the project organization.

1.3 Scope

This document gives general information about Taxi service project. It describes a team organization and development process in general. In this document are defined all milestones and deliverables significant for the project as well as the project risks. However, detailed information about some parts of the project (such as implementation details and meeting details) will be given in other documents.

1.4 Definitions and acronyms

1.4.1 Definitions

| Keyword | Definitions |
|--------------|--|
| Taxi service | Project name |
| Google docs | Web-based office suite and data storage service offered by Google |
| Dropbox | A cloud file hosting service that offers file sharing and synchronization. |
| Web service | A method of communication between two devices on the Internet |
| Doodle | A service that facilitates scheduling meetings. |

1.4.2 Acronyms and abbreviations

| Acronym or abbreviation | Definitions |
|-------------------------|--|
| PL | Project leader |
| TL | Team leader |
| GUI | Graphical user interface |
| POLIMI | Politecnico di Milano (eng. <i>Polytechnic University of Milan</i>) |
| FER | Fakultet Elektrotehnike I Računarstva (eng. <i>Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, University of Zagreb</i>) |
| SVN | Subversion |

1.5 References

Taxi service website: http://www.fer.unizg.hr/rasip/dsd/projects/taxi_service

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

2. Background and Objectives

The main goal of this project is to develop functional Taxi Service system. The system will consist of several basic elements:

- Main server
- Android Client application for customers
- Android Client application for taxi drivers

Customers will be able to order a taxi to a position via Android client application or web application. After the order has been received, main server will determine the zone from which the order has been made and after that, select the first taxi from the virtual queue of the appropriate zone and dispatch it to the received location. The customer will receive the number of the taxi which will pick him up, as soon as the driver of the taxi accepts the order he got from the main server. If the taxi driver rejects the order or doesn't respond to it in a certain amount of time, the taxi will be put at the end of the queue and the order will be forwarded to the next taxi in the queue. While driving through the city, the taxis will change virtual queues as they change zones they are driving in. The taxi will be removed from the old queue and put at the end of a new one.

The integration strategy of the system will be feature – based. The development will begin with the core functionality and new features will be added with time. There will be several milestones and new features will be introduced in every. After the feature is developed, first it will be tested standalone and then it will be integrated in the system. After the integration, new series of testing will take place. After the system is fully developed and tested, it will be delivered to project supervisor in 3 parts: Web application for server, Android client application for Taxi, and Android client application for costumers. The system software will be followed with the necessary project documentation.

3. Organization

Although all team members are enrolled to one of the two universities (FER and POLIMI), the team is actually geographically divided in three locations:

- Croatia (5 team members)
- Italy (2 team members)
- Finland (1 team member)

The work on the project is divided in three categories: Organization, Documentation and Presentations, and Implementation. It is decided that all team members equally participate in every project part.

1. Organization

- **Project leader**
Project leader is responsible for the team in general. His responsibility is to always be informed about every important issue. His responsibility is also to inform others about those issues. He should also be monitoring the work of POLIMI students.
- **Team leader**
Team leader's responsibility is to monitor FER students and inform team leader about important issues that are taking place on Croatian side.
- **Others**
All team members share responsibility of organizing internal meetings, meetings with the project supervisor, dividing project tasks and delivering documents on time.

Tools: Google groups, Skype, Google calendar, Doodle

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

2. Documentation and Presentations

Documentation and Presentations are both responsibility of every team member. Every document that is required to deliver is entrusted to several team members (number depends on the document). After they write the document, other team members should check it and make corrections if necessary. The content of the documents is discussed on weekly meetings.

Presentations should be made by team members who are going to present them, and checked and corrected by other team members. It is agreed that two or more team members will be presenting.

Tools: Google docs, Dropbox, SVN

3. Implementation

Since the project is divided in three major parts, the project roles are defined similarly:

- **Taxi Mobile Application developer** (2 team members)
Responsibility: developing mobile application that will be used in Taxis.
Communication: with server side developers
- **Client Mobile Application developer** (2 team members)
Responsibility: developing mobile application that will be used by clients who want to order a Taxi
Communication: with server side developers
- **Server side developer** (3 team members)
Responsibility: developing a web service which will be the communicating with mobile applications, developing web application for clients who want to order a Taxi
Communication: with mobile application developers
- **GUI developer** (1 team member)
Responsibility: developing graphical user interface for mobile and w
Communication: with mobile and web application developers

Tools: SVN, Trello

3.1 Project group

| Name | Initials | Responsibility (roles) |
|--------------------|----------|---|
| Luca Zangari | LZ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project leader • Taxi Mobile Application development |
| <i>Leon Dragić</i> | LD | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Team leader • Client Mobile Application development |
| Lyudmil Angelov | LA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GUI development • Helping other team members when needed |
| Marko Coha | MC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Client Mobile Application development |
| Jelena Jerat | JJ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Server side development |
| Fabio Kruger | FK | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Taxi Mobile Application development |
| Igor Piljić | IP | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Server side development |
| Karlo Zanki | KZ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Server side development |

3.2 Customer

Comune di Milano (eng. *City administration of Milan*)

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

3.3 Supervisor

Prof. Elisabetta Di Nitto (POLIMI)

3.4 Others

Prof. dr. sc. Mario Žagar (FER)
 Prof. Ivica Crnković (MDH)
 Prof. Raffaella Mirandola (POLIMI)

Professors from FER, POLIMI and MDH responsible for the DSD course.

Marin Orlić (FER) – SVN administrator, Virtual machine administrator

4. Development process

We follow a modified SCRUM methodology for Taxi Service. We do project planning on the milestone level and deliver the project on a feature-by-feature basis.

Planning and Delivery Schedule

Planning is done by defining milestones and calculating the time to deliver each.

To build a milestone, first we break down the problem into vertical features, meaning things that make sense to the users of the system. So, for example, since implementing a part of the database on the server is not something that would be visible to any of the stakeholders of the project, it isn't considered a feature. An example feature is "A taxi reports its current location to the central server continuously," implying that user interface and back-end work need to be completed on both the taxi device and the server and integrated before it would be considered done.

Once we have a set of features that covers the functionality we want to cover in the next milestone, we estimate the complexity of each feature in complexity points, assigning an integer value between one and three. The complexity measure is only relative, so a lower score for Feature A compared to Feature B means that Feature A is relatively simpler to implement than Feature B.

When all milestone features are estimated, we sum up their complexity values to get the total complexity of the milestone. We then use our current velocity (measured in complexity points per week) to estimate how long it will take to complete the milestone (total complexity divided by velocity).* The result is a release schedule.

* The current velocity is measured throughout the project, averaging the velocities of past iterations. The initial velocity (for the first milestone) is a matter of agreement between the team members. In this case, we have picked a target initial velocity of two complexity points per week.

Development Process

Development is done on a feature-by-feature basis.

Once we have scheduled a milestone, we begin work on it in weekly iterations (what is commonly known within SCRUM as "sprints"). Our current velocity provides us with an easy way to calculate the capacity of the team for any given week (velocity multiplied by iteration length in weeks). At the beginning of the week we pick enough features to work on to fill that capacity and start developing. We implement, test, and integrate each component throughout the week in an ad-hoc manner. At the end of the week, we check how many of the features we have worked on are completed, show them to our product owner, and sum up their complexities to give us our new current velocity. We then check to see if that velocity keeps us on track to finish the milestone on time and make appropriate adjustments (simplifying features, adding more features, postponing the milestone

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

delivery date, etc.). We then move on to plan the following iteration, repeating the same process until all the features of the milestone are completed.

Once a milestone is completed, we start again, building a list of features that will constitute the following milestone. This process continues until the final delivery deadline for the course.

Project Roles

1. Product owner: Prof. Elisabetta Di Nitto

The development team with her in order to define requirements and features. She also reviews and signs off on each feature the development team delivers.

2. Scrum Master: Luca Zangari

The development team communicates obstacles and difficulties they are experiencing that prevent them from doing the work required to deliver the work on time and he tries to remove said impediments.

3. Development team: Luca Zangari, Fabio Kruger, Karlo Zanki, Leon Dragić, Igor Piljić, Marko Coha, Jelena Jerat, Lyudmil Angelov

The development team members gather requirements and design, implement, test, and integrate features.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

5. Deliverables

| To | Output | Planned week | Promised week | Late +/- | Delivered week | Rem |
|----------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------|----------------|-----|
| Steering group | Project vision presentation | W43 | W43 | 0 | W43 | |
| Steering group | Minutes of meeting documents | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Internal | Week reports | * | * | * | * | 2 |
| Customer | Project plan document | W44 | W44 | 0 | W44 | |
| Steering group | Project plan presentation | W44 | W44 | 0 | W44 | |
| Steering group | Requirements definition document | W44 | W44 | 0 | W44 | |
| Internal | Documentation policy | W45 | W45 | 0 | W45 | 3 |
| Internal | Coding policy | W45 | W45 | -1 | W44 | 3 |
| Internal | SVN policy | W45 | W45 | -1 | W44 | 3 |
| Internal | Interfaces definition document | * | * | * | * | 4 |
| Steering group | Requirements definition and system Architecture presentation | W45 | W45 | 0 | W45 | |
| Steering group | Design description document | W45 | W45 | 0 | W45 | |
| Steering group | Alpha prototype presentation | W48 | W48 | 0 | W48 | |
| Steering group | Beta prototype presentation | W51 | W51 | | | |
| Steering group | Acceptance test plan | W01 | W01 | | | |
| Steering group | Test report | W03 | W03 | | | |
| Steering group | Final product presentation | W03 | W03 | | | |
| Steering group | Final project report | W03 | W03 | | | |
| Customer | Final product | W03 | W03 | | | |

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

5.1.1 Remarks

| Remark Id | Description |
|-----------|---|
| 1 | Minutes of meeting are delivered regularly after every team meeting |
| 2 | Week reports are delivered regularly every week on Sunday |
| 3 | Delivered on the Taxi Service shared folder on Dropbox |
| 4 | Interfaces definition document is defined on the first week of implementation (W45) but is constantly (weekly) updated as new features are being implemented. It's final version will be uploaded on the DSD website. |

6. Inputs

| From | Required item | Planned week | Promised week | Late +/- | Delivered week | Rem |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|----------------|-----|
| Project supervisor | Requirements | W44 | W44 | 0 | W44 | |
| DSD staff | SVN | W42 | W42 | 0 | W42 | |
| DSD staff | VM | W44 | W44 | 0 | W44 | |
| Project supervisor | Windows Azure license | W44 | W44 | 0 | W44 | |

Comment: *Required week* = week when it is required by the project; *Promised week* indicates when the *From* expects to deliver; *Late +* indicates a discrepancy between *Required week* and *Promised week*; *Received week* is week when it was actually received; *Rem* is a remark index number.

6.1.1 Remarks

| Remark Id | Description |
|-----------|-------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

7. Project risks

| Possibility | Risk | Preventive action |
|-------------|--|---|
| High | Problems with system integration | Well defined interfaces between components and constant communication between members who are developing connected components. |
| High | Lack of time to finish deliverables on time | Planning in advance, dividing work equally between team members to avoid overloading some team members. |
| Medium | The need for licensed tools (because of using commercial platforms and technologies) | Planning the project from start, elaborating requirements. Deciding on the tools at the beginning of the project and making sure all needed licenses are available. |
| Medium | Bad communication between team members | Regular weekly meetings, PL and TL coordinating the team. |
| Medium | Lack of motivation for working on a project | Constant communication between team members and solving problems together. |
| Low | Lack of knowledge in technologies | Choosing technologies that most team members are familiar with, and dividing the work to team members considering their knowledge. |
| Low | Losing work because of SVN error | Making regular backups |
| Low | Team member leaves the project | Making sure that PL and TL are always informed about project status. Making sure that always at least two team members work together on important parts of the project. |
| Medium | Final product doesn't meet the requirements | Regular contact with project supervisor, daily supervising of work of every team member |
| | | |
| | | |

8. Communication

8.1 Meetings

8.1.1 Skype meetings

Every Thursday at 19.00 h the team discusses the project progress and important issues on a regular weekly meeting. Except the regular meeting, more meetings can be arranged during the week if the need for that arises. The time of irregular meetings is usually determined with Doodle.

Every meeting is entrusted to one team member who leads the meeting. This team member should be prepared for the meeting. He should decide on the topics that will be discussed and write the minutes of meeting document after the meeting is finished. The person leading the meeting is very important since the meetings are usually Skype voice calls which would be very hard to coordinate without the leader.

8.1.2 Meetings with project supervisor

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

Meetings with project supervisor are usually arranged via e-mail. They are arranged when it's necessary to discuss some important issues with the supervisor and they take place on Italian side. The whole team discusses the topic of the meeting and questions to be asked on Skype meetings. After the meeting with the supervisor Italian members of the team inform the rest of the team about it.

It is also considered to make those meetings regular once a week. Team members from Croatia should also be able to participate in those meetings using Skype voice call.

8.1.3 Meetings in person

Both the Italian and the Croatian side can sometimes have meetings in person in order to discuss the project. Meetings in person are not so often as other types of meetings.

8.2 Google group

Asynchronous communication is maintained via Google group. When the issue appears, the team member opens a new topic on the Google group.

8.3 Person to person communication

Person to person communication is very often and usually not planned. To communicate that way team members use instant messengers like Skype, Google talk and MSN messenger. Team members from the same country also use mobile phones for communication.

9. Configuration management

SVN will be used for sharing and managing code. There will be a person in charge for administrating SVN repository. SVN administrator is responsible for making regular backups in case of SVN error. Since project is feature-based, decision has been made that every feature will be developed and tested in separate branch and integrated into trunk afterwards. Every member is supposed to follow SVN and code policies.

For sharing documents team has decided to use Dropbox where all formal and informal documents will be stored and Google Drive in case two or more people work on same document at same time.

The team will use Trello collaboration tool for project management. Trello will be used to help team members organize their work and monitor the status of the project.

For the purpose of this project the team will use:

- Windows Azure cloud service
- Trello collaboration tool

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

10. Project plan

10.1 Time schedule

| Id | Milestone Description | Responsible Dept./Initials | Finished week | | | Metr. | Rem. | |
|------|--|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------|-------|------|--|
| | | | Plan | Forecast Week | Actual | | | |
| M001 | Dividing the tasks | LZ, LD | W43 | W43 | 0 | W43 | 0 | |
| M002 | Gathering requirements | LZ,LA | W44 | W44 | 0 | W44 | 0 | |
| M003 | System architecture | Whole team | W45 | W45 | 0 | W45 | 0 | |
| M004 | Developed feature: A taxi notifies the server of its location continuously | IP, JJ, KZ, FK, LZ | W46 | W46 | 0 | W45 | +1 | |
| M005 | Developed feature: A taxi can get its zone information from the server | IP, JJ, KZ, FK, LZ | W47 | W47 | 0 | W47 | 0 | |
| M006 | Developed feature: A taxi can change its status | FK, LZ | W48 | W48 | 0 | W48 | 0 | |
| M007 | Developed feature: A customer can place an order for a taxi | LD, MC | W48 | W48 | 0 | W49 | -1 | |
| M008 | Developed feature: A taxi can receive a customer order | Whole team | W49 | W49 | 0 | | | |
| M009 | Developed feature: After the taxi is selected, customer gets taxi info | IP, JJ, KZ | W50 | W50 | 0 | | | |
| M010 | Optional functionality | Whole team | W51 | W51 | 0 | | | |
| M013 | Final product | Whole team | W03 | W03 | 0 | | | |

Comment: *Finished week: Plan* = original plan; *Actual* = week when it was actually available; *Forecast Week* indicates the estimated finished week; *Forecast +/-* indicates changes in the estimation compared to the previous project report; *Metr* is the difference between Plan and Actual, that is if Plan is w604 and Actual is w606, then Metr will be -2. To be filled in when the milestone is reported as completed; *Rem* is a remark index number.

10.1.1 Remarks

| Remark Id | Description |
|-----------|-------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |

10.2 Activity plan

| | w43 | w44 | w45 | w46 | w47 | w48 | w49 | w50 | w51 | W52 | w01 | w02 | w03 |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Dividing the tasks | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gathering requirements | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| System architecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Developing Feature 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Developing Feature 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Developing Feature 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Developing Feature 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Developing Feature 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Developing Feature 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adding Optional | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unit Testing | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acceptance Testing | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Documentation | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delivering final product | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| ID | Predecessor | Activity | Days | Md ays | Rem. |
|----|-------------|-------------------------------|------|-----------|------|
| 1 | | Dividing the tasks | 1 | 1 | |
| 2 | | Gathering requirements | 5 | 10 | |
| 3 | | System architecture | 6 | 18 | |
| 4 | 1,2,3 | Developing Feature 1 | 7 | 42 | |
| 5 | 1,2,3 | Developing Feature 2 | 7 | 42 | |
| 6 | 1,2,3 | Developing Feature 3 | 4 | 24 | |
| 7 | 1,2,3 | Developing Feature 4 | 10 | 60 | |
| 8 | 1,2,3 | Developing Feature 5 | 7 | 42 | |
| 9 | 1,2,3 | Developing Feature 6 | 7 | 42 | |
| 10 | 9 | Adding optional functionality | 14 | 112 | |
| 11 | | Unit Testing | 14 | 28 | |
| 12 | | Acceptance Testing | 14 | 28 | |
| 13 | | Documentation | 25 | 60 | |
| 14 | | Delivering final product | 7 | 21 | |

Total planned calendar days for the project to be finished and total planned man-days required to finish the project

| Planned effort (days) | Planned effort (man-days) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| 128 | 530 |

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Taxi service | Version: 1.2 |
| Project Plan | Date: 2012-12-04 |
| | |

10.2.1 Remarks

| Remark Id | Description |
|------------------|---|
| 1 | One working day is considered to be 4 – 5 h of work |
| | |
| | |
| | |