



## Minutes of Meeting

<b>Project</b>	<b>Cycling Advocacy</b>	<b>Date</b>	18-11-2019
		<b>Start-end time</b>	12.30-2.00
<b>Responsible</b>	Elena Bakuleva	<b>Location /type</b>	Skype

<b>Attended by</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
Ivana Bosnić	FER, Croatia	FER Supervisor
Elisabetta Di Nitto	Polimi, Milan	Polimi Supervisor
Saloni Kyal	Polimi, Milan	Developer
Carlo Casiglia	Polimi, Milan	SCRUM Master
Elena Bakuleva	Polimi, Milan	Developer
Federico Ferri	Polimi, Milan	Developer
Sandra Kuzmić	FER, Croatia	Product Owner
Boris Vezmar	FER, Croatia	Developer
Izabella Szydelko	FER, Croatia	Developer
Dominik Kotarski	FER, Croatia	Developer

### 1. Introduction

- We discussed our design document and 3<sup>rd</sup> presentation

### 2. Design Description Document

- We used the term "shock" in the document and the mockups, have to replace/remove it because we use the keyword "bumpy issue".
- We should specify which Queueing System we use
- High-Level System Architecture issue: Web Server not a part of Backend, so we should fix it (should be outside the box)
- Elisabetta suggest: use a standard notation for High-Level System Architecture diagram because it is unclear now
- Very important to make references between design description document and requirement document (in the Android Application part, etc.)
- Motion Sensor Data: since not all the phones can provide data from an accelerometer, magnetometer and gyroscope, what we do if don't have one of them? Maybe should specify it
- 4.3: continuous small changes in the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph of 4.3. Continuous small changes not equal to shock should change it.
- Mockups: should remove the shock from the mockup, about current location in issue reporting – can we see the map or address. Ivana suggestion: make a function to report for places which is not a current location. Should we have an option to add a new type of issue?
- Ivana asks about the connection between the reporting issue in our app and FixMyStreet. Should think about it: "bad to keep these parts completely unintegrated".
- Try to avoid typos in the mockups, should correct them
- Should we have a comment on the bump issue: it could be anything, maybe the user can comment.

- User identifier: it is too long in the picture, maybe we can use a shorter identifier (and think how to make not short enough to be discoverable by random) or (suggestion from supervisors) use a QR code like in the web version of WhatsApp
- About the position of the phone: maybe should include a message like "please put the phone in the handler" if the position of the phone is important to make good records
- UML diagram of main concepts in road analysis: make clear for everyone difference between the path and the trip, correct the diagram
- 6.7 should be at the beginning of the document
- Should we have a user (device) on the UML diagram?

### 3. Presentation review

- Collaboration and development: Make sure that we will tell why we use all tools
- Architecture and technologies: should merge two slides
- Should mention somewhere what we will have a web part and why we have it
- Backlog: Elisabetta asks why we are focusing on tasks, not on the use cases
- Alpha prototype: change backend part ("create API for collecting data" issue)

### **CONCLUSION:**

- Should fix all issues
- Path/trip question is solved (?)
- Fix the UML diagram
- Should have a connection between DD document and requirements document, some references will be good.