Running the Distributed Software Development course today – after 15 years!



The good ol' history slide...

□ Back in 2003...

How do you make a lecture on a distance?
Can you make a course on a distance?
What about studying on a distance?

- Without going there...
- ... As money is always an issue



The basic idea...

Global Software Development / Engineering



Distributed Software Development course

Who are we?











University of Zagreb FER Zagreb, Croatia Mälardalen University MDH Västerås, Sweden Politecnico di Milano POLIMI Milano, Italy



We use

□ to teach

and to prepare (students for









~ 500 students

We've got all the continents covered...



* ... only this one missing



Course structure





Lectures from industry professionals





Distributed student projects

Educational goals - I

- obtaining basic theoretical knowledge on DSD
- □ gathering experience from industry professionals
- simulating the real-world environment
 - working in (big) teams across locations
 - working with external customers
 - working in all project phases



Educational goals - II

- improving presentation skills
- transferring knowledge among the students
- improving collaboration skills and responsibility
- learning about other cultures



Educational goals - III

developing self-assessment abilities learning to peer-assess



Course phases



Course preparation phase



Which students do we want?

□ The best, of course ☺

highly motivated
knowledge of English "good enough"
knowledge of technology "good enough"

What do we offer?

□ blood, sweat & tears

~200 working hours and lots of nerves should be invested (not only due to technology!)

What do we sometimes get?

- I'm the best, the others should listen to me
- Noone ever failed this course
- □ So I did everything I was told, what's the problem?
- □ I went skiing...
- It's easier to do this by myself
- I don't like the project I got
- □ The other site knows nothing!
- □ The other site is perky/cynical/evil
- I was just in charge of the documentation

┛..

Course preparation phase



Course preparation phase students interested in the course interview enrolled project students test proposals **Expected** number of students per site poll choosing the technologies projects Knowledge of technology project poll - projects presentations forming the teams

Project customers

Internal

□ teaching staff

External

□ universities

- merger-scenario (University of Paderborn)
- other research groups / services

industry - companies
student contests (SCORE)



Real customers...

□ ... deserve a separate slide

□ with all the **good** ⓒ and **bad** ⊗ sides

□ "So, this is how a real life looks like!"



Actually, our DSD-customers are great 😊

Some results – SCORE contest...

□ **not** for self-praise ⊗





but to emphasise the **motivation influence** \bigcirc

Project characteristics

Development / Research

- Free choice of technology / technology defined / tools defined
- Stability of requirements
- Customer availability, technology knowledge, English knowledge

Course preparation phase



Course preparation phase students interested in the course interview enrolled project students test proposals **Expected** number of students per site poll choosing the technologies projects Knowledge of technology project poll - projects presentations forming the teams

How to choose which projects to run?

Criteria for choosing the projects

all locations should have their projects
keeping in touch with industry partners
students' interest in the project

equal workload balance on teaching sites



Course preparation phase



Forming the project teams

□ Typical project team



- □ 2 sites, 3-4 students per site
- exceptional situation: 1 site & remote customer

Criteria for assigning students to project

- □ project **motivation**, knowledge of **technologies**
- Image: main mind all the global limitations
- □... trying to optimize the overall motivation
- ... while minimizing the project risks

Course phases



Timeline



Project team (self)-organization



staff

So what is a successful project?

□ 1 team of students

□ 1 team of **teachers** □ *tight coupling* ⓒ



Taking care of the team...

□ role-playing

supervisor + customers

Teaching Perspectives Inventory:

nurturing type

communication!



Documentation

Deliverable	Date
Project plan document (v.1)	2017-11-03 23:59
Requirements Definition document (v.1)	2017-11-10 23:59
Design Description document (v.1)	2017-11-10 23:59
Sprint Report, Sprint Backlog, Sprint Burndown	during the sprints, update after review & restrospective meeting(s)
Minutes of Meeting	During project
Technical documents, project policies etc.	During project
Revisions of existing documents	On major changes
Acceptance test plan	2017-12-22 23:59
SCORE report	2018-01-15 23:59
Test report	2018-01-19 23:59
Final Project Report, final versions of existing documents, other project-related documentation (as negotiated with the customer)	2018-01-19 23:59
Final product (installation, source code, etc.)	2018-01-19 23:59

Presentations 🙂

Presentation	Date
Project vision & Project Plan	2017-10-17
Requirement, Design, revised Project Plan	2017-10-31
Status Report	2017-11-14
Milestone - Alpha prototype	2017-11-28
Milestone - Beta prototype	2017-12-12
Final project presentation	2018-01-09

The mechanism of making decisions

□ What's better – **dictatorship** or **democracy**?

- leadership style different across cultures
- □ giving out / taking tasks

AM

thriety Mithan

- proactivity / passivity of the team members
- □ the "terror of democracy"
- personality and ability of the leaders, changing / rotating roles


Course phases





Project evaluation criteria

□ 50+ grading criteria divided into 4 groups:

□ product

□ process

documentation

□ presentation



In-team points division

- □ Staff sees it as a *black-box* approach
- This is not the final grade
- Dilemma 1:
 - □ points as grade (1-5)?
 - □ points as points (1-100 or similar)?
- Dilemma 2:
 - □ how will in-team division be done?



Supervisors' observations

Activity and attendance on meetings

meeting observations

□ *minutes-of-meeting* documents

- Project proactivity
- Weekly reports
- Tool logs

□ SVN/Git, task division and assignment

Presentation activity



Final questionnaire

□ Each student answers the questionnaire on:

- cooperation in the local, as well as remote part of the projec team
- □ various communication aspects
- cultural differences and their work influence
- □ process used
- DSD-experiences

□ Good data source for future analysis

Final grading

- Respect deadlines on all universities!
- □ The process should be **cooperative** □ all sides included
- Formally, the grade can be given (and "defended") only by the local teacher!



"e" in DSD



Technology in DSD

- not Yet Another LMS-based course
- virtual space for students and staff
- □ tools, technologies, approaches
 - □ joint lectures
 - collaborative software engineering
 - □ communication
 - delivering presentations and productsfeedback



Technology need - I

□ Need:

□ synchronous in-class communication

□ Used for:

audio and video conferences

desktop sharing

- □ Tools used:
 - Polycom, Skype
 Adobe Connect
 WebEx



Technology need - II

□ Need:

□ synchronous collaboration

- □ Used for:
 - □ instant messaging
- □ Tools used:
 - □ Skype
 - **FB** Messenger



Technology need - III

narski Sustavi i Procesi omatiku i Računalno Inženjerstvo ABOUT STUDYABROAD Edit 005/0 Home page: http://travelnstudy.azurewebsites.net 2006/0 2007/0 Travel n Study project: 2008/0 Travel 'n Study project aims to develop a system that will help students in choosing a university and the city in which they want to study abroad. The project goal is to collect information from different open data sources or 2009/10 the Web and use it to develop a recommendation system which will guide the user towards a decision. The goa of the project is to build a web application which will provide a service to the user in a form of a recommendation system for deciding where to go to study abroad. The application will gather data needed to 2010/1 make that decision from various open data sources and present the data to the user in a organized and visually attractive user interface. The application will allow the user to input data on a number of options so the system can provide the user with choices which are suitable to his preferences. 2011/12

□ Need:

- □ asynchronous collaboration
- □ Used for:
 - □ sharing news, document collaboration
 - polls and questionnaires, discussion groups

□ Tools used:

FER CMS

- Google Groups, Google Docs, Slack
- Doodle

Technology need – IV

□ Need:

software development collaboration

- □ Used for:
 - □ versioning system
 - bug reporting software
 - project management

□ Tools used:

SVN, Git, BugZilla, RedmineTrello, Asana

Build software better, together.

Search or type a command

GitHub

Powerful collaboration, code review, and code management for open source and private projects. Need private repositories? Upgraded plans start at \$7/mo.

0

Choosing a {tool, technology, approach...}

- stability, availability over the years?
- □ free / open source?
- □ students (sometimes ⓒ) do know better!
- new experiences



Organizational Challenges *

erm, too? ©

* don't you love this term, too? \odot

Course enrollment

D POLIMI:

□ attending the first lecture...

□ ... but, what about the 2nd one?

□ FER:

□ pre-enrollment

DMDH:

□ pre-enrollment (*sort of*)



Course unenrollment

Dear Ivana,

20.10.

□ ERASMUS?

After some time thinking about it, I've decided to quit this course. The reason is that, although I find it really interesting and for sure I'd learn tons of things, I don't really need those ECTS and as I'm not a experienced computer programmer i think it's gonna take a lot of my time here in Zagreb which I'd like to use to enjoy my Erasmus.

Is students' knowledge good enough?

□ "pre-evaluation & advice

Restricted enrollment?

Enrollment to the remote university

$\Box \text{ FER \& POLIMI} \rightarrow \textbf{MDH}$

FER and POLIMI students: Enrollment to MDH

15.10.2014. at 13:24

Dear FER and POLIMI students,

as a part of DSD course, you can be officially enrolled as a distance student to Mälardalen University. It's free and you get the transcript of records for this course, taken also at MDH.

The process:

- 1. Download **MDH admission form** from the repository below. Be careful to select the right folder for your university!
- Fill (on the computer!), print, sign and bring the form to your teaching staff, by Tuesday, 2014-10-21.

Ivana Bosnić

[Delete | Edit] [Add comment (0)]

Enrollment to the remote university

$\Box \mathsf{MDH} \And \mathsf{POLIMI} \to \mathsf{FER}$



MDH and POLIMI students: Enrollment to FER

Dear MDH and POLIMI students,

as a part of DSD course, you can be officially enrolled as a distance student to University of Zagreb, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing (FER). It's free and you get the transcript of records for this course, taken also at FER (looks good on your CV!). Additionally, it is important for our course organization on each university...

The process is a bit tedious, but you'll manage :) In order to complete the process, you need to provide us 4 documents:

- 1. Application for determination and assignment of the Personal Identification Number (OIB)
- 2. Letter of authorization for FER to obtain the Croatian Personal Identification Number in your name.
- 3. Application for admission at FER (which needs the above Identification Number)
- 4. Scan/copy of your passport / European ID card.

Please, read and follow these steps carefully!

- 1. USE CAPITAL LETTERS (like me now). Write clearly, please!
- 2. Download DSD_FER_ID_draft_EN.pdf and fill it.
 - 1. If you have a PDF editor, fill it on the computer, otherwise, print it and fill it by hand.
 - 2. Skip Natural person master citizen number (MBG).
 - 3. Check the 2nd page of the document for instructions on form chapter 1.2.
 - 4. You do not need to fill OIB and MBG of the parents.
 - 5. Skip Chapter 2 (Legal Entity).
 - 6. Under Chapter 3 (List of documents) write "Letter of authorization" and "Copy of passport / ID-card"
 - 7. Sign the document.
- 3. Take a look at the **DSD_FER_Letter_of_Authorization_Smith_Michelle.pdf**. This is similar to DSD_FER_Letter_of_Authorization, but translated to English.
- 4. Download Letter of Authorization: [MDH .doc or .odt] ::::: [POLIMI .doc or .odt]
 - 1. Fill **your name** on the computer, remove highlights.
 - 2. Print and **sign** the document.
- 5. Download FER Application for admission: [MDH .doc or .odt] ::::: [POLIMI .doc or .odt]
 - 1. Fill it on the computer. Leave the Identification number (OIB) field **empty**.
 - 2. Print and **sign** the document
- 6. Bring all 4 documents in paper to your teaching staff by Tuesday, 2015-10-27.
- 7. Upload your **FER Application for admission** to your **project Web page**. Change the document name (your real Lastname, Firstname).

Any questions? Ask Ivana.





Naslovnica | Porezni sustav | Propisi | Dvostruko oporezivanje | Mišljenja SU | Publikacije | Obrasci | Europski i vanjski poslovi | Mediji | Elektroničke usluge | Adresar



ECTS course points

FER-I (old curriculum)

□ MDH – 7.5

□ FER – **4**

FER-II (new curriculum)
MDH – 7.5
FER – 8
...
POLIMI - 5

Joint grading

Giving the same grade!
MDH – either fail or 3, 4, 5
What if – there's no joint-same grades? ⁽³⁾
A, B, C... grades
Official Transcript of Records



Joint grading



Kursbevis

Course Certificate

Namn Name Personnummer Civic registration number 880401-P651

Kursbeviset har utfärdats i enlighet med bestämmelserna i högskoleförordningen (1993:100) The Course Certificate has been issued in accordance with the Swedish Higher Education Ordinance (1993:100)

Kurs Course	Hskpoäng Credits	Betyg Grade	Datum Date	Not Note
CDT402 Distribuerad programvaruutveckling Distributed Software Development Nivå: Avancerad nivå Level: Second cycle	7.5	4 2	013-02-11	1
På rektors vägnar On behalf of the Vice-Chancellor				
den 25 mars 2013 March 25, 2013				
<u>Ain Sallbeg</u> Elin Sahlberg Assistent				
Noter: Notes:				

Grading system:

1 5 Med beröm godkänd (5), 4 Icke utan beröm godkänd (4), 3 Godkänd (3) 5 Pass with distinction, 4 Pass with credit, 3 Pass

60 högskolepoäng motsvarar ett års heltidsstudier. 1 svensk högskolepoäng motsvaras av 1 ECTS poäng. 60 credits represent a full academic year. The credit system is compatible with ECTS credits.

Joint grading



Republic of Croatia University of Zagreb Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing



Zagreb, January 15th, 2015 Number: **0036482607-DOCUMENT NOT VALID (for testing ONLY)**

Upon the paragraph 159 of the General procedure act this Faculty issues the following

CERTIFICATE

Mr. Andrea Bottoli, born on April 6th, 1988 in Mantova, Italy, student from the Politecnico di Milano enrolled as a guest student at the Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, in the graduate university study of **Computing** in duration of 4 semesters, profile: **Computer Engineering**.

Mr. Andrea Bottoli passed examinations and completed exercises in the following courses:

	Subject	Total hours		ECTS	Date of	Grade	Remark
		Lectures	Exercises	credits	examination		
1.	Distributed Software Development	60	45	8.0	02/05/2014	5 (excellent)	

Total ECTS credits: 8.0

Remarks: * Grade not counted towards GA

** Not graded

GA: 5.000 at 5.00 scale

Grading scale:

The Croatian national grading scale consists of five grades with numerical equivalents: izvrstan - 5 (excellent); $vrlo \ dobar - 4$ (very good); dobar - 3 (good); dovoljan - 2 (sufficient); nedovoljan - 1 (fail); The minimum passing grade is 2 (sufficient).

Academic calendar

		RUJ	AN	07/0)8
	3	10	17	24	
	4	11	18	25	_
	5	12	19	26	
	6	13	20	27	
	7	14	21	28	
1	8	15	22	29	_
2	9	16	23	30	
	1 2	3 4 5 6 7 1 8 2 9	R0J 3 10 4 11 5 12 6 13 7 14 1 8 15 2 9 16	RUJAN 3 10 17 4 11 18 5 12 19 6 13 20 7 14 21 1 8 15 22 2 9 16 23	ROJAN 07/0 3 10 17 24 4 11 18 25 5 12 19 26 6 13 20 27 7 14 21 28 1 8 15 22 29 2 9 16 23 30

1			RU	JAN	11/12
Po		5	12	19	26
Ut		6	13	20	27
Sr		7	14	21	28
Ce	1	8	15	22	29
Pe	2	9	16	23	30
Su	3	10	17	24	
Ne	4	11	18	25	

			LISTO	PAD	12/13
Po	1	8	15	22	29
Ut	2	9	16	23	30
Sr	3	10	17	24	31
Ċe	4	11	18	25	
Pe	5	12	19	26	
Su	6	13	20	27	
Ne	7	14	21	28	

			LISTO	PAD	13/14
Po	30.9	7	14	21	28
Ut	1	8	15	22	29
Sr	2	9	16	23	30
Če	3	10	17	24	31
Pe	4	11	18	25	
Su	5	12	19	26	
Ne	6	13	20	27	

			LISTO	OPAD	14/	15
Po	29, 9,	6	13	20	27	
Ut	30. 9.	7	14	21	28	
Sr	1	8	15	22	29	
Če	2	9	16	23	30	
Pe	3	10	17	24	31	
Su	4	11	18	25		
Ne	5	12	19	26		

			RU	JAN	08/09)
Po	1	8	15	22	29	
Ut	2	9	16	23	30	
Sr	3	10	17	24		
Če	4	11	18	25		
Pe	5	12	19	26		
Su	6	13	20	27		
Ne	7	14	21	28		

		-	RU	JAN	09/10
Po		7	14	21	28
Ut	1	8	15	22	29
Sr	2	9	16	23	30
Če	3	10	17	24	
Pe	4	11	18	25	
Su	5	12	19	26	
Ne	6	13	20	27	

I				RU	JAN	10/11
ſ	Po		6	13	20	27
	Ut		7	14	21	28
	Sr	1	8	15	22	29
	Če	2	9	16	23	30
	Pe	3	10	17	24	
	Su	4	11	18	25	
	Ne	5	12	19	26	

			LISTO	PAD	05/	/06
Po		3	10	17	24	31
Ut		4	11	18	25	
Sr		5	12	19	26	
Če		6	13	20	27	
Pe		7	14	21	28	
Su	1	8	15	22	29	
Ne	2	9	16	23	30	

			LISTO	PAD	06	/07
Po		2	9	16	23	30
Ut		3	10	17	24	31
Sr		4	11	18	25	
Če		5	12	19	26	
Pe		6	13	20	27	
Su		7	14	21	28	
Ne	1	8	15	22	29	

Academic calendar

The end of semester is – the end!
 mid-term exam weeks

And speaking about calendars...
 Diwali, Christmas, New Year...
 Gregorian, Julian... ③





And the point of these slides is?



Flexibility ③

Students' feedback



Students' feedback

- □ initial questionnaire
- periodic polling "How happy am I?"
- □ final questionnaire
- course evaluation











In general...

Year	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	Avg
Students #	21	52	44	26	11	28	36	15	22	9	28,33
Statement 1	4,71	4,58	4,32	4,08	4,36	4,14	4,67	4,53	4,23	4,11	4,38
Statement 2	4,48	4,29	4,02	3,88	4,27	4,11	4,19	4,18	4,09	4,11	4,16

- 1. As a whole the course was:4,38
- 2. The course has fulfilled my expectations: **4,16**

Some general comments...

"Another week and I would have died."

"I was scared at the beginning, but now I feel lucky I had been a part of this course."

"This course was one of the best that I had on faculty."

And some more to think about...

"…I found out that it takes a lot of work to make something work as you want it to work."



When getting at the FER I thought that every course will be like this. Soon I changed my mind and thought that something like this exists only in america. I'm very glad I found something like this at FER."

"It ain't over... "

"What can be improved in the course?"

- Students' suggestions on:
 - Technical resources
 - □ Knowledge level
 - Project selection and assignment
 - Lectures, Course organization
 - □ Workload, Course advising, Grading...
- Explaining why do we do what we do?
- □ Adapting the course ☺



Well, this is self-praise... 😕

□ 2013/2014: **EUNIS Dorup E-learning Award** □ 2009/2010: **IELA international e-learning contest** □ runner-up **IFLA 2010 International e-Learning Awards** □ 2008/2009: Runner-up: Academic e-Learning UNIZG – "The best e-course" "Distributed Software Development Course" University of Zagreb Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing Zagreb, Croatia □ 2. award Representative: Mario Zagar

http://www.ielassoc.org

The International E-Learning Association


10 tips to succeed 😳

Ten Tips to **Succeed in Global** Software Engineering **Education**

https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2337385



Start communication by brute force





Get the students to be familiar with each other as soon as possible





Keep communication levels consistently high





Ensure that students keep the other students in mind





Keep the students highly motivated





Remember: we are different





Be flexible – overcome the differences





Be flexible – beat the administration





Be alert





Be enthusiastic



A usual DSD conclusion:

"Quite hard but I guess that's what the real life is like."

www.fer.unizg.hr/rasip/dsd

...polls, projects archive, awards, promo-movies, research papers...

Have a question? Ask <u>@ivki</u> or <u>ivana.bosnic@fer.hr</u>